UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,221	01/18/2007	Bernd Graze	4838-004	3413	
	7590 06/12/200 CMAN HAM & BERN		EXAMINER		
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD			PATIDAR, JAY M		
SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2858		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/577,221	GRAZE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAY M. PATIDAR	2858				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· <u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	t.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the means for separation as set forth in claims 11,25 and a plurality of transmitting and detector coils as set forth in claims 12,26 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must

Application/Control Number: 10/577,221 Page 3

Art Unit: 2858

be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. Claims 7,9,11,14,16-17,21,23,25 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 7,9,14,16-17,21,23, the phrase "optionally adjustable", "preferably", or "in particular" is not positive limitation; they are not positively recited;

In claims 9,23, there is no antecedent basis for "inflection points"; it is vague as to what is "characteristic point", "inflection point", "extrema", "standard positions", "limiting value" or "fringe range". They are not clearly defined.

In claims 11,25, it is unclear as to what a means for the separation is.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue (5,045,789).

Inoue discloses a process and an apparatus for detecting and distinguishing parts in a material flow which influence an electromagnetic alternating field (see background of the invention) wherein an alternating electromagnetic field is generated (col. 1, line 35); a detector coil comprising two windings that are connected in opposite directions and interconnected in series (e.g. col. 1, line 38); the parts in material flow move with respect to the coils; the detection signal being derived from a variation of magnetic flux caused by the relative motion between the electromagnetic alternating field and a part located in the material flow and influencing the electromagnetic alternating field (see background of the invention); the detection signal contains the phase signal portion and the amplitude signal portion (see 20; fig. 1); the vectors are calculated from the detected signal, the absolute value and the phase angle of a vector are calculated (fig. 13 shows coordinate system showing vector that represent the detected valued and types of metal); the vector is evaluated with respect to the material specific characteristics and when a material specific characteristic is identified, an identification signal is emitted (e.g. 19, fig. 1). Inoue does not explicitly disclose forming locus curve. Inoue teaches evaluating waveforms and vectors. The use of locus curve in lieu of vector analysis to

Art Unit: 2858

identify the metal in a material flow would be a common approach and would be within the level of ordinary skill in the related art. Both analyses would provide the same result. The method claims recited for using the apparatus in claims 1-12 are an inherent use of the apparatus of Inoue and are rejected on the same grounds. One of ordinary skill would find it inherent that the apparatus of Inoue operates in the functional manner claimed by applicant.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAY M. PATIDAR whose telephone number is (571)272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 8:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Assoud can be reached on 571-272-2210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,221 Page 6

Art Unit: 2858

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jay M. Patidar/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2862